# STA261 - Module 5 Asymptotic Extensions

Rob Zimmerman

University of Toronto

July 30 - August 1, 2024

# Limitations of Finite Sample Sizes

- In almost everything we've done so far, we've assumed a sample  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\theta}$  of fixed size n
- $\bullet\,$  We've needed to know the distributions of various statistics of  $X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_n$
- This requirement has been very limiting, as the distributions of most statistics don't have closed forms (or are unknown entirely)
- Even the exact distribution of the sample mean  $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is only available for a few parametric families

イロト イヨト イヨト

# Driving Up the Sample Size

- On the other hand, we have plenty of *limiting* distributions as  $n \to \infty$
- Example 5.1:
- Example 5.2:
- $\bullet$  Of course, we never have  $n=\infty$  in real life
- But if we have the luxury of a very large sample size, the "difference" between the exact distribution and the limiting distribution should (hopefully) be tolerable
- Since the normal distribution is particularly nice, we will milk the CLT for all it's worth

イロト イヨト イヨト

# A Review of Standard Limiting Results

- In the following, let  $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  and  $\{Y_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  be sequences of random variables, let X be another random variable, let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  be constants, and let  $g(\cdot)$  be a continuous function
- Theorem 5.1: If  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ , then  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ . If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} x$ , then  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} x$ .
- Theorem 5.2 (Slutsky's theorem): If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} y$ , then  $Y_n \cdot X_n \xrightarrow{d} y \cdot X$  and  $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + y$ .
- Theorem 5.3 (Continuous mapping theorem): If  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ , then  $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{p} g(X)$ . If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ , then  $g(X_n) \xrightarrow{d} g(X)$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

#### Notation Update

- For the rest of this module, we will accentuate statistics of finite samples with the subscript n (so X is now  $X_n$ , etc.)
- For a generic statistic, we'll write  $T_n = T_n(\mathbf{X}_n)$
- If we're talking about a limiting property of a sequence  $\{T_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ , we'll abuse notation and just write that  $T_n$  has that limiting property, when the meaning is clear from context
- Example 5.3:

## Two Big Ones

 Theorem 5.4 (Weak law of large numbers (WLLN)): Let X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>,... be a sequence of iid random variables with E [X<sub>i</sub>] = μ. Then

$$\bar{X}_n \stackrel{p}{\longrightarrow} \mu.$$

Theorem 5.5 (Central limit theorem (CLT)): Let X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>,... be a sequence of iid random variables with E [X<sub>i</sub>] = μ and Var (X<sub>i</sub>) = σ<sup>2</sup>. Then

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \,.$$

• The CLT is equivalent to  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , which is the form we'll be using most often

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト



## On Quercus: Module 5 - Poll 1

æ

メロト メタト メヨト メヨト

#### Asymptotic Unbiasedness

- As in Module 2, we're interested in estimators of  $\tau(\theta)$
- $\bullet\,$  But now we're concerned with their limiting behavious as  $n \to \infty\,$
- For finite n, we insisted that our "best" estimators be unbiased
- In the asymptotic setup, we can relax that slightly
- Definition 5.1: Suppose that {W<sub>n</sub>}<sub>n≥1</sub> is a sequence of estimators for τ(θ). If Bias<sub>θ</sub> (W<sub>n</sub>) <sup>n→∞</sup>→ 0 for all θ ∈ Θ, then {W<sub>n</sub>}<sub>n≥1</sub> is said to be asymptotically unbiased for τ(θ).
- Example 5.4:

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

# Consistency

- $\overline{X}_n \xrightarrow{p} \mu$  is the prototypical example of an estimator converging in probability to the "right thing"
- We have a special name for this
- Definition 5.2: A sequence of estimators W<sub>n</sub> of τ(θ) is said to be consistent for τ(θ) if W<sub>n</sub> <sup>p</sup>→ τ(θ) for every θ ∈ Θ.
- Example 5.5:

## Showing Consistency

- Sometimes it's easy to show consistency directly from the definition
- Example 5.6: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Show that the sample mean  $\overline{X}_n$  is consistent for  $\mu$ .

• • • • • • • • • • •

#### Showing Consistency

- It's usually easier to use standard limiting results (Slutsky, continuous mapping, etc.) than to go directly from the definition
- Example 5.7: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Show that the sample variance  $S_n^2$  is consistent for  $\sigma^2$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

# Bringing Back the MSE

- In Module 2, we compared estimators by their MSEs
- To extend that idea to the asymptotic setup, we need a new mode of convergence
- Definition 5.3: Suppose that  $W_n$  is a sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$ . If  $MSE_{\theta}(W_n) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ , then  $W_n$  is said to converge in MSE to  $\tau(\theta)$ .
- Example 5.8:

э



## On Quercus: Module 5 - Poll 2

2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Convergence in MSE is Already Good Enough

- It turns out that convergence in MSE is strong enough to guarantee consistency
- Theorem 5.6: If  $W_n$  is a sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$  that converges in MSE for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ , then  $W_n$  is consistent for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

Proof.

# A Criterion for Consistency

- If we know  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[W_n]$  and  $\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(W_n)$ , this next theorem often makes short work out of checking for consistency
- Theorem 5.7: If  $W_n$  is a sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$  such that  $\operatorname{Bias}_{\theta}(W_n) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$  and  $\operatorname{Var}_{\theta}(W_n) \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} 0$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ , then  $W_n$  is consistent for  $\tau(\theta)$ .

Proof.

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

## The Sample Mean is Always Consistent

• Example 5.9: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\theta}$ , where  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$ . Show that  $\overline{X}_n$  is consistent for  $\mu$ .

• • • • • • • • • • • •

## The Sample Variance is Always Consistent

• One can (very tediously) show that if  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  are a random sample from a distribution with a finite fourth moment, then

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(S_{n}^{2}\right) = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X_{i} - \mathbb{E}\left[X_{i}\right]\right)^{4}\right]}{n} - \frac{\operatorname{Var}\left(X_{i}\right)^{2}\left(n-3\right)}{n(n-1)}$$

• Example 5.10: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\theta}$ , where  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$  and  $\operatorname{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_i^4] < \infty$ . Show that  $S_n^2$  is consistent for  $\sigma^2$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

# Choosing Among Consistent Estimators

- Consistency is practically the bare minimum we can ask for from a sequence of estimators
- There are usually plenty of sequences that are consistent for  $\tau(\theta)$
- Which one should we use?
- It's tempting to go with whichever has the lowest variance for fixed n, but that would rule out a lot of fine estimators

• Example 5.11:

• • • • • • • • • • •

#### Asymptotic Normality

- There's a much more useful criterion, but first we need an important CLT-inspired definition
- Definition 5.4: Let  $T_n$  be a sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$ . If there exists some  $\sigma^2 > 0$  such that

$$\sqrt{n}[T_n - \tau(\theta)] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2),$$

then  $T_n$  is said to be asymptotically normal with mean  $\tau(\theta)$  and asymptotic variance  $\sigma^2$ .

• By virtue of the CLT, most unbiased estimators are asymptotically normal

## Asymptotic Normality: Examples

• Example 5.12: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Bin(k, p)$ . Show that the sample mean  $\overline{X}_n$  is asymptotically normal.

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イ

### Asymptotic Normality: Examples

Example 5.13: Let X<sub>1</sub>, X<sub>2</sub>,..., X<sub>n</sub> <sup>iid</sup> ∼ Exp (λ). Show that the second sample moment X<sup>2</sup><sub>n</sub> is asymptotically normal.

・ロ・ ・ 一・ ・ ヨ・ ・

#### Asymptotic Distributions

- More generally, we can talk about the limiting distribution of  $\sqrt{n}[T_n-\tau(\theta)]$  even when it's not normal
- Definition 5.5: Suppose that  $T_n$  is a sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$ . When it exists, the distribution of  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sqrt{n}[T_n \tau(\theta)]$  is called the **asymptotic** distribution (or limiting distribution) of  $T_n$ .
- So if  $T_n$  is an asymptotically normal sequence of estimators for  $\tau(\theta)$  with asymptotic variance  $\sigma^2$ , then its asymptotic distribution is  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$

• Example 5.14:

• We might prefer to speak of the distribution of  $T_n$  itself when n is large

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



## On Quercus: Module 5 - Poll 3

2

メロト メタト メヨト メヨト

#### The Delta Method

- If some sequence  $T_n$  is asymptotically normal for  $\theta$  and some function  $g(\cdot)$  is nice enough, then the next result gives a remarkably easy method of producing an asymptotically normal sequence of estimators of for  $g(\theta)$
- Theorem 5.8 (**Delta method**): Suppose that  $\theta \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sqrt{n}(T_n \theta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ . If  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable with  $g'(\theta) \neq 0$ , then

$$\sqrt{n}[g(T_n) - g(\theta)] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, [g'(\theta)]^2 \sigma^2\right).$$

Proof.

#### The Delta Method: Examples

• Example 5.15: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Find the limiting distribution of  $1/\overline{X}_n$ .

э

・ロト ・日下・ ・ ヨト・

#### The Delta Method: Examples

• Example 5.16: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$  where  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Find the limiting distribution of log  $(1 - \overline{X}_n)$ .

A D > A B > A B > A

#### The Delta Method: Examples

• Example 5.17: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\theta}$  where  $\mathbb{E}_{\theta}[X_i] = \theta$  and  $\mathsf{Var}_{\theta}(X_i) = \sigma^2$ . If  $\tau : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable with  $\tau'(\theta) \neq 0$ , describe the distribution of  $\tau(\overline{X}_n)$  as n becomes large.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### Back to Choosing Estimators

• We know that when  $T_n = \overline{X}_n$ , the CLT says that

$$\frac{T_n - \mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left[T_n\right]}{\sqrt{\mathsf{Var}_{\theta}\left(T_n\right)}} \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}\left(0, 1\right)$$

- Recall the Fisher information  $I_n(\theta) = \operatorname{Var}_{\theta} \left( S(\theta \mid \mathbf{X}_n) \right)$
- In Module 2, we said that an unbiased estimator  $W_n$  of  $\tau(\theta)$  was efficient if its variance attained the Cramér-Rao Lower Bound  $[\tau'(\theta)]^2/I_n(\theta)$
- We also noticed that if the  $X_i$  's were iid, then  $I_n(\theta)=nI_1(\theta)$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## Asymptotic Efficiency

• So if we could replace the  $T_n$  in the CLT statement with a general unbiased and efficient  $W_n$ , it would look like

$$\frac{W_n - \tau(\theta)}{\sqrt{[\tau'(\theta)]^2 / nI_1(\theta)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$$

• Or equivalently

$$\sqrt{n}[W_n - \tau(\theta)] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{I_1(\theta)}\right)$$

- This is not a *result*, but a *condition* that we can demand of our estimators
- Definition 5.6: A sequence of estimators  $W_n$  is asymptotically efficient for  $\tau(\theta)$  if

$$\sqrt{n}[W_n - \tau(\theta)] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{[\tau'(\theta)]^2}{I_1(\theta)}\right)$$

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

# Asymptotic Efficiency: Examples

• Example 5.18: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda > 0$ . Show that  $1/\overline{X}_n$  is asymptotically efficient for  $\lambda$ .

イロト イヨト イヨト イ

# Asymptotic Efficiency: Examples

• Example 5.19: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$  Poisson  $(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda > 0$ . Show that  $\overline{X}_n$  is asymptotically efficient for  $\lambda$ .

イロト イヨト イヨト イ

# Large Sample Behaviour for the MLE

- We're ready to see why the MLE is almost always the point estimator of choice when n is large
- To understand this, we need to distinguish between an arbitrary parameter  $\theta \in \Theta$  and the true parameter that generated the data, which we will call  $\theta_0$
- We'll show that the MLE is asymptotically efficient, under certain "regularity conditions"

(日) (四) (三) (三)

# **Regularity Conditions**

• Recall how the Cramér-Rao Lower Bound required some conditions:

- Such conditions are generically referred to as *regularity conditions*, and they're used to rule out various pathological counterexamples and edge cases
- The exact regularity conditions for our next result are quite technical and not worth getting involved with in this course
- Instead, we will go with four *sufficient* regularity conditions that are relatively easy to check, and which are satisfied by many common parametric models



#### On Quercus: Module 5 - Poll 4

2

メロト メタト メヨト メヨト

# The MLE is Often Asymptotically Normal

- Theorem 5.9: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\theta_0}$ , and let  $\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{X}_n)$  be the MLE of  $\theta_0$  based on a sample of size n. Suppose the following regularity conditions hold:
  - $\blacktriangleright~\Theta$  is an open interval (not necessarily finite) in  $\mathbb R$
  - ▶ The log-likelihood  $\ell(\theta \mid \mathbf{x}_n)$  is three times continuously differentiable in  $\theta$
  - The support of  $f_{\theta}$  does not depend on  $\theta$
  - $I_1(\theta) < \infty$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$

Then

$$\sqrt{n}[\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{X}_n) - \theta_0] \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{I_1(\theta_0)}\right).$$

That is,  $\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{X}_n)$  is a consistent and asymptotically efficient estimator of  $\theta_0$ .

Proof (sketch).

Rob Zimmerman (University of Toronto)

STA261 - Module 5

July 30 - August 1, 2024 36 / 57

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

## A Useful Corollary

• Theorem 5.10: Suppose the hypotheses of Theorem 5.9 hold, and that  $\tau: \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$  is continuously differentiable with  $\tau'(\theta_0) \neq 0$ . Then

$$\sqrt{n}[\tau(\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{X}_n)) - \tau(\theta_0)] \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{[\tau'(\theta_0)]^2}{I_1(\theta_0)}\right).$$

That is,  $\tau(\hat{\theta}_n(\mathbf{X}_n))$  is a consistent and asymptotically efficient estimator of  $\tau(\theta_0)$ .

# Asymptotically Efficient MLEs: Examples

• Example 5.20: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2$  is known. Find the asymptotic distribution of the MLE of  $\mu$ .

э

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

# Asymptotically Efficient MLEs: Examples

• Example 5.21: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$  Bernoulli (p), where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Find the asymptotic distribution of the MLE of p, and then that of 1/p.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

## The MLE Isn't Always Asymptotically Normal

• Example 5.22: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Unif}(0, \theta)$ , where  $\theta > 0$ . Show that the MLE of  $\theta$  is not asymptotically normal.

• • • • • • • • • • • •

### Approximate Tests and Intervals

- We've seen that a lot of statistics are asymptotically normal
- What about test statistics?
- If we're willing to approximate a test statistic (whose exact distribution we might not know for fixed n) by one with a normal distribution, we can perform tests and create intervals that we couldn't have before
- As in Modules 3 and 4, we'll start off with tests and then use the test statistics from those to construct confidence intervals

• • • • • • • • • • •

## Wilks' Theorem

- Recall the LRT statistic for testing  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_A: \theta \neq \theta_0$  was given by  $\lambda(\mathbf{X}_n) = \frac{L(\theta_0|\mathbf{X}_n)}{L(\hat{\theta}|\mathbf{X}_n)}$ , where  $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}(\mathbf{X}_n)$  is the unrestricted MLE of  $\theta$  based on  $\mathbf{X}_n$
- Amazingly, the LRT statistic always converges in distribution to a known distribution, regardless of the statistical model (assuming it's nice enough)
- Theorem 5.11 (Wilks' theorem): Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\theta}$ , where the model satisfies the same regularity conditions as in Theorem 5.9. If we test  $H_0: \theta \in \Theta_0$  versus  $H_A: \theta \in \Theta_0^c$  using  $\lambda(\mathbf{X}_n)$ , then

$$-2\log\left(\lambda(\mathbf{X}_n)\right) \stackrel{d}{\longrightarrow} \chi^2_{(1)}$$

under  $H_0$ .



### On Quercus: Module 5 - Poll 5

2

メロト メタト メヨト メヨト

### Approximate LRTs: Examples

• Example 5.23: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$  Bernoulli (p), where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Construct an approximate size- $\alpha$  LRT of  $H_0: p = p_0$  versus  $H_A: p \neq p_0$ .

A D > A B > A B > A

### Approximate LRTs: Examples

• Example 5.24: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Construct an approximate size- $\alpha$  LRT of  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  versus  $H_A: \mu \neq \mu_0$ .

• • • • • • • • • • •

#### Wald Tests

• Definition 5.7: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\theta}$ . For testing  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_A: \theta \neq \theta_0$ , a Wald test is a test based on the Wald statistic

$$W_n(\mathbf{X}_n) = (\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)^2 I_n(\hat{\theta}),$$

where  $\hat{\theta}_n = \hat{\theta}_{\mathsf{MLE}}(\mathbf{X}_n)$  is the usual MLE.

• Theorem 5.12: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\theta}$ , where the model satisfies the same regularity conditions as in Theorem 5.9. If we test  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_A: \theta \neq \theta_0$  using  $W_n(\mathbf{X}_n)$ , then

$$W_n(\mathbf{X}_n) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_{(1)}$$

under  $H_0$ .

#### Wald Tests: Examples

• Example 5.25: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$  Bernoulli (p), where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Construct an approximate size- $\alpha$  Wald test of  $H_0 : p = p_0$  versus  $H_A : p \neq p_0$ .

э

#### Wald Tests: Examples

• Example 5.26: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Construct an approximate size- $\alpha$  Wald test of  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  versus  $H_A: \mu \neq \mu_0$ .

• • • • • • • • • • •

#### Score Tests

• Definition 5.8: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\theta}$ . For testing  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_A: \theta \neq \theta_0$ , a score test (also called a Rao test or a Lagrange multiplier test) is a test based on the score statistic

$$R_n(\mathbf{X}_n) = \frac{[S_n(\theta_0 \mid \mathbf{X}_n)]^2}{I_n(\theta_0)}$$

• Theorem 5.13: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\theta}$ , where the model satisfies the same regularity conditions as in Theorem 5.9. If we test  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_A: \theta \neq \theta_0$  using  $R_n(\mathbf{X}_n)$ , then

$$R_n(\mathbf{X}_n) \xrightarrow{d} \chi^2_{(1)}$$

under  $H_0$ .

#### Score Tests: Examples

• Example 5.27: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$  Bernoulli (p), where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Construct an approximate size- $\alpha$  score test of  $H_0 : p = p_0$  versus  $H_A : p \neq p_0$ .

э

#### Score Tests: Examples

• Example 5.28: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Construct an approximate size- $\alpha$  score test of  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  versus  $H_A: \mu \neq \mu_0$ .

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

# The Trinity of Tests

- The LRT, the Wald test, and the score test form the backbone of classical hypothesis testing
- Observe that under  $H_0$ , all three tests are asymptotically equivalent (i.e., all three test statistics all converge in distribution to a  $\chi^2_{(1)}$ )
- For this reason, the three tests are sometimes collectively referred to as the trinity of tests
- Although asymptotically equivalent, the speed of convergence to  $\chi^2_{(1)}$  can be quite different for each one for small n, they can be quite different in terms of power and other "small-sample" properties
- One might tell you to reject  $H_0$  while another might not!

### Approximate Confidence Intervals

- Using any of the asymptotic tests to test H<sub>0</sub>: θ = θ<sub>0</sub> versus H<sub>A</sub>: θ ≠ θ<sub>0</sub>, it's sometimes possible to invert any of the test statistics to obtain an approximate (1 − α)-confidence interval for θ
- Out of the three, the LRT is usually the hardest to invert into an actual interval, and the Wald statistic is usually the easiest
- In practice, you can always try to use numerical solvers when the algebra doesn't work
- $\bullet\,$  For Wald and score intervals, the standard recipe is to take the square root of the test statistic and compare it to  $\mathcal{N}\,(0,1)$

# Approximate Confidence Intervals: Examples

• Example 5.29: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$  Bernoulli (p), where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Construct an approximate  $(1 - \alpha)$ -confidence interval for p based on the Wald statistic.

• This confidence interval shows up everywhere in polling (and is a staple of introductory Statistics classes); its half-length is called the **margin of error** 

• • • • • • • • • • • •

## Approximate Confidence Intervals: Examples

• Example 5.30: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$  Bernoulli (p), where  $p \in (0, 1)$ . Construct an approximate  $(1 - \alpha)$ -confidence interval for  $\log\left(\frac{p}{1-p}\right)$  based on the Wald statistic.

• • • • • • • • • •

### Approximate Confidence Intervals: Examples

• Example 5.31: Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$  Poisson  $(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda > 0$ . Construct an approximate  $(1 - \alpha)$ -confidence interval for  $\lambda$  based on the Wald statistic.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

# When the Fisher Information Causes Problems...

- When  $f_{\theta}$  is too complicated to allow for exact  $(1 \alpha)$ -confidence intervals, it's standard practice to use Wald intervals and score intervals
- But there might be another problem:
- In real-life multiparameter models,  $I_n(\theta)$  is a matrix and is often impossible to work out directly, which makes calculating  $I_n(\hat{\theta}_0)$  or  $I_n(\hat{\theta})$  futile
- $\bullet$  When this happens, people like to swap  $I_n(\cdot)$  with  $J_n(\cdot)$  in the Wald and score statistics

۲

• Moreover, in a famous 1978 paper, Efron and Hinkley showed empirically that  $J_n(\hat{\theta})$  is superior to  $I_n(\hat{\theta})$