

# STA261 - Module 1

## Statistics

Rob Zimmerman

University of Toronto

July 2-4, 2024

# Data and samples

- *Data* is factual information collected for the purposes of inference (Merriam-Webster)
- *Inference* is the act of passing from statistical sample data to generalizations (as of the value of population parameters) usually with calculated degrees of certainty (also Merriam-Webster)
- We collect a *sample* of data from a *population* associated with some probability distribution, and we would like to infer unknown properties of that distribution
- Example 1.1:

# Random variables versus observed data (this is really important)

- Our data sample goes through two phases of life: first as a *random sample*, and then as *observed data*
- A random sample is a set of *random variables*; observed data is a set of *constants*; the same goes for functions thereof
- We denote random variables using uppercase letters, and constants using lowercase letters:
- Example 1.2:
- It is **very** important to clearly distinguish between the two quantities. But why?

# iid-ness

- “iid” stands for “**independent and identically distributed**”
- This term is used everywhere in statistics, because it saves a lot of time
-

# Statistics

- **Definition 1.1:** A **statistic**  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a function of the random data sample  $\mathbf{X}$  which is free of any unknown constants. If we observe  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$ , then  $T(\mathbf{x})$  is the **observed value of  $T$** .
- **Example 1.3:**
- A statistic is useful when it allows us to summarize the data sample in ways that helps us with inference
- Different statistics are useful for different models
- **Example 1.4:**

# Parameters and Statistical Models

- Many classical probability distributions have *parameters* associated with them
- Example 1.5:
- Definition 1.2: A **statistical model** is a set of pdfs/pmfs  $\{f_\theta(\cdot) : \theta \in \Theta\}$  defined on the same sample space, where each  $\theta$  is a fixed **parameter** in a known **parameter space**  $\Theta$ . When  $\Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set is also called a **parametric model** (or **parametric family**).
- Example 1.6:
- Statistical inference is classically concerned with figuring out which one of those distributions generated the data, based on the data sample we have available
- This amounts to inferring the particular parameter  $\theta$

# Parameters and Statistical Models: More Examples

- Example 1.7:

# Important Parametric Families: Location-Scale Families

- **Definition 1.3:** A **location family** is a family of pdfs/pmfs  $\{f_\mu(\cdot) = f(\cdot - \mu) : \mu \in \mathbb{R}\}$  formed by translating a “standard” family member  $f(\cdot) := f_0(\cdot)$ .
- **Example 1.8:**
- **Definition 1.4:** A **scale family** is a family of pdfs/pmfs  $\{f_\sigma(\cdot) = f(\cdot/\sigma)/\sigma : \sigma > 0\}$  formed by rescaling a “standard” family member  $f(\cdot) := f_1(\cdot)$ .
- **Example 1.9:**
- **Definition 1.5:** A **location-scale family** is a family of pdfs/pmfs  $\{f_{\mu,\sigma}(\cdot) = f\left(\frac{\cdot - \mu}{\sigma}\right) / \sigma : \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0\}$  formed by translating and rescaling a “standard” family member  $f(\cdot) := f_{0,1}(\cdot)$ .
- **Example 1.10:**



# Poll Time!

On Quercus: Module 1 - Poll 1

# Important Parametric Families: Exponential Families

- **Definition 1.6:** An **exponential family** is a parametric family of pdfs/pmfs of the form

$$f_{\theta}(x) = h(x) \cdot g(\theta) \cdot \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j(\theta) \cdot T_j(x) \right),$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , where all functions of  $x$  and  $\theta$  are *known* and the support of  $f_{\theta}$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .

- Lots of theory simplifies considerably if we assume our random sample comes from an exponential family
- Many of your favourite distributions are included
- **Example 1.11:**

# A Quick Review of Conditional Distributions

- Remember Bayes' rule:
- Conditional distributions and expectations
- For any fixed  $y$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[X|Y = y]$  is a constant
- But  $\mathbb{E}[X|Y]$  is a *random variable*
- Example 1.12:
- Example 1.13:

# A Quick Review of Functions

- Let  $f : A \rightarrow B$  be a function
- If  $f$  is one-to-one, then
- If  $f$  is onto, then
- If  $f$  is a bijection, then
- Example 1.14:

# Freedom From $\theta$

- Most of the functions  $f_{\theta}(x)$  we will deal with have parameters involved in addition to the “independent variable”
- If the parameter  $\theta$  can vary too, then  $f_{\theta}(x)$  is really a function of both  $x$  and  $\theta$
- If  $f_{\theta}(x)$  is actually *not* a function of  $\theta$  (i.e., it's constant with respect to  $\theta$ ), we might also say that it's “free of  $\theta$ ” or that it “does not depend on  $\theta$ ”
- Example 1.15:
- So if we say that the distribution of  $X$  is free of  $\theta$ , we mean that the cdf of  $X$  (and hence the pdf/pmf) is the same for all  $\theta \in \Theta$
- Example 1.16:

# Data Reduction: A Thought Experiment

- Is there a such thing as “more data than necessary”?
- Suppose that field researchers collect a sample  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$ , where  $n$  is astronomically large; they want us statisticians to do inference on  $\theta$ , but sending us  $\mathbf{X}$  would take weeks
- Wouldn't it be great if we didn't need the entire sample  $\mathbf{X}$  to make inferences about  $\theta$ , but rather a much smaller statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$  – perhaps just a single number – that still contained as much information about  $\theta$  as  $\mathbf{X}$  itself did?
- The researchers observe  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}$ , calculate  $T(\mathbf{x}) = t$  on their end, and then text  $t$  over to us
- Example 1.17:

# Sufficiency

- How do we “encode” this idea?
- If we know that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = t$ , then there should be nothing else to glean from the data about  $\theta$
- **Definition 1.7:** A statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is a **sufficient statistic** for a parameter  $\theta$  if the conditional distribution of  $\mathbf{X} \mid T(\mathbf{X}) = t$  does not depend on  $\theta$ .
- An interpretation: if the conditional distribution

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \mid T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x})) = \frac{\mathbb{P}_\theta(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x} \text{ and } T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x}))}{\mathbb{P}_\theta(T(\mathbf{X}) = T(\mathbf{x}))}$$

is really free of  $\theta$ , then the information about  $\theta$  in  $\mathbf{X}$  and the information about  $\theta$  in  $T(\mathbf{X})$  and “cancel each other out” (heavy quotes here)

- **Example 1.18:**

# Sufficiency

- **Example 1.19:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$  Bernoulli( $\theta$ ), where  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .



# Sufficiency

- **Example 1.20:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2$  is known. Show that the sample mean  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \bar{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient for  $\mu$ .

# The Factorization Theorem

- **Theorem 1.1 (Factorization theorem):** Let  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \sim f_\theta(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $f_\theta(\mathbf{x})$  is a joint pdf/pmf. A statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is sufficient for  $\theta$  if and only if there exist functions  $g_\theta(t)$  and  $h(\mathbf{x})$  such that

$$f_\theta(\mathbf{x}) = h(\mathbf{x}) \cdot g_\theta(T(\mathbf{x})) \quad \text{for all } \theta \in \Theta,$$

where  $h(\mathbf{x})$  is free of  $\theta$  and  $g_\theta(T(\mathbf{x}))$  only depends on  $\mathbf{x}$  through  $T(\mathbf{x})$ .

- In other words,  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is sufficient whenever the “part” of  $f_\theta(\mathbf{x})$  that actually depends on  $\theta$  is a function of  $T(\mathbf{x})$ , rather than  $\mathbf{x}$  itself

*Proof.*

# The Factorization Theorem

# Poll Time!

On Quercus: Module 1 - Poll 2

# The Factorization Theorem: Examples

- **Example 1.21:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$  Bernoulli( $\theta$ ), where  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- **Example 1.22:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2$  is known. Show that the sample mean  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \bar{X}_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient for  $\mu$ .

# The Factorization Theorem: Examples

- **Example 1.23:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = (\bar{X}_n, S_n^2)$  is sufficient for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .
  
- **Example 1.24:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Unif}(0, \theta)$  where  $\theta > 0$ . Show that  $\bar{X}_n$  is *not* sufficient for  $\theta$ , and find a statistic that is.

# The Factorization Theorem: Examples

- **Theorem 1.2:** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$  be a random sample from an exponential family, where

$$f_\theta(x) = h(x) \cdot g(\theta) \cdot \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j(\theta) \cdot T_j(x) \right).$$

Then  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_1(X_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n T_k(X_i) \right)$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

*Proof.*

# The Factorization Theorem: Examples

- **Example 1.25:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)$  is sufficient for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .



# The Factorization Theorem: Examples

- **Example 1.26:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Unif}(\{1, 2, \dots, \theta\})$ , where  $\theta \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = X_{(n)}$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

# If There's One, There's More...

- If we have some sufficient statistic, we can always come up with (infinitely) many others...
- **Theorem 1.3:** Let  $T(\mathbf{X})$  be sufficient for  $\theta$  and suppose that  $r(\cdot)$  is a bijection. Then  $r(T(\mathbf{X}))$  is also sufficient for  $\theta$ .

*Proof.*

# Too Many Sufficient Statistics

- So there are lots of sufficient statistics out there
- We saw that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \mathbf{X}$  is always sufficient – it's also pretty useless as far as data reduction goes
- There are usually “better” ones out there – how do we get the best bang for our buck?
- Another issue: the factorization theorem makes it easy to show that a statistic is sufficient (if it actually is), but less so to show that a statistic is *not* sufficient
- We will develop theory that takes care of both of these issues at once

# Minimal Sufficiency

- **Definition 1.8:** A sufficient statistic  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is called a **minimal sufficient statistic** if, for any other sufficient statistic  $U(\mathbf{X})$ , there exists a function  $h$  such that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = h(U(\mathbf{X}))$ .
- In other words, a minimal sufficient statistic is some function of *any other sufficient statistic*
- A minimal sufficient statistic achieves the greatest reduction of data possible (while still maintaining sufficiency)
- **Example 1.27:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2$  is known. Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = (\bar{X}_n, S_n^2)$  is not minimal sufficient for  $\mu$ .

# Poll Time!

On Quercus: Module 1 - Poll 3

# A Criterion For Minimal Sufficiency

- It's usually not that hard to show that a statistic is not minimal sufficient
- But how can we possibly show that a statistic *is* minimal?
- **Theorem 1.4:** Let  $f_\theta(\mathbf{x})$  be the pdf/pmf of a sample  $\mathbf{X}$ . Suppose there exists a function  $T(\cdot)$  such that for any  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}^n$ ,  $T(\mathbf{x}) = T(\mathbf{y})$  if and only if the ratio  $f_\theta(\mathbf{x})/f_\theta(\mathbf{y})$  is free of  $\theta$ . Then  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is minimal sufficient for  $\theta$ .
- This criterion is easier to apply than it looks
- **Example 1.28:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \overset{iid}{\sim}$  Bernoulli( $\theta$ ), where  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is minimal sufficient for  $\theta$ .

## Minimal Sufficiency: Examples

- **Example 1.29:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = (\bar{X}_n, S_n^2)$  is minimal sufficient for  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

## Minimal Sufficiency: Examples

- **Example 1.30:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda > 0$ . Find a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\lambda$ .



# Minimal Sufficiency: Examples

- A minimal sufficient statistic isn't always as minimal as you would expect...
- **Example 1.31:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Unif}([\theta, \theta + 1])$ , where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $T(\mathbf{X}) = (X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$  is minimal sufficient for  $\theta$ .

# Poll Time!

On Quercus: Module 1 - Poll 4

# The “Opposite” of Sufficiency?

- We know that a sufficient statistic contains all the information about  $\theta$  that the original sample has
- What about a statistic that contains *no* information about  $\theta$ ?
- Why would such a thing be useful?

# Ancillarity

- **Definition 1.9:** A statistic  $D(\mathbf{X})$  is an **ancillary statistic** for a parameter  $\theta$  if the distribution of  $D(\mathbf{X})$  does not depend on  $\theta$
- **Example 1.32:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Unif}([\theta, \theta + 1])$ , where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that the range statistic  $R(\mathbf{X}) := X_{(n)} - X_{(1)}$  is ancillary for  $\theta$ .

## Ancillarity: Examples

- Did we actually use the uniform distribution anywhere in the previous example?
- **Theorem 1.5:** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a location family with cdf  $F(\cdot - \theta)$ , for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the range statistic is ancillary for  $\theta$ .

*Proof.*

## Ancillarity: Examples

- **Example 1.33:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ . Show that

$$D(\mathbf{X}) = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_{n-1}}{X_n} \text{ is ancillary for } \sigma^2.$$

- **Theorem 1.6:** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a random sample from a scale family with cdf  $F(\cdot/\sigma)$ , for  $\sigma > 0$ . Then any statistic which is a function of the ratios  $X_1/X_n, \dots, X_{n-1}/X_n$  is ancillary for  $\sigma$ .

## Ancillarity: Examples

- Recall that if  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , then the distribution of  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2$  is called a **chi-squared distribution with  $n$  degrees of freedom**, which we write as  $Y \sim \chi_{(n)}^2$ .
- **Theorem 1.7:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Then  $\frac{n-1}{\sigma^2} S^2 \sim \chi_{(n-1)}^2$ .

*Proof* ( $n = 2$ ).

- **Example 1.34:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  with  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Show that the sample variance  $S_n^2$  is ancillary for  $\mu$ .

# Poll Time!

On Quercus: Module 1 - Poll 5



# Completeness: An Abstract Definition

- Everything so far has been about ways to reduce the amount of data we need while still retaining all information about  $\theta$
- We've seen that ancillary statistics are bad at it, sufficient statistics are good at it, and minimal sufficient statistics are very good at it
- We will study one more kind of statistic, but the definition isn't pretty
- **Definition 1.10:** A statistic  $U(\mathbf{X})$  is **complete** if *any* function  $h(\cdot)$  which satisfies  $\mathbb{E}_\theta [h(U(\mathbf{X}))] = 0$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$  must also satisfy  $\mathbb{P}_\theta (h(U(\mathbf{X})) = 0) = 1$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

# Completeness: An Abstract Definition

- The concept of completeness is notoriously unintuitive – probably the most abstract one in our course – but it will pay off later
- For now, you can think about the finite case a bit like a finite-dimensional basis from linear algebra
- If  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$  span  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0}$  implies  $a_i = 0$  for all  $i$
- If  $U(\mathbf{X})$  is complete and supported on  $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n h(u_i) \cdot \mathbb{P}_\theta(U(\mathbf{X}) = u_i) = 0$  implies  $h(u_i) = 0$  for all  $i$
- The meaning will become clearer at the end of Module 2
- So why bring it up now?

## Showing Completeness is Very Difficult In General...

- **Example 1.35:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim}$  Bernoulli( $\theta$ ) with  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ . Show that  $U(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is complete.

## ...But for Exponential Families, There's Nothing To It

- **Theorem 1.8:** Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_\theta$  be a random sample from an exponential family, where

$$f_\theta(x) = h(x) \cdot g(\theta) \cdot \exp \left( \sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j(\theta) \cdot T_j(x) \right),$$

where each  $\eta_j(\cdot)$  is continuous on  $\Theta$  and each component of  $\Theta$  contains an open interval in  $\mathbb{R}$ .<sup>1</sup> Then  $T(\mathbf{X}) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n T_1(X_i), \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n T_k(X_i) \right)$  is a complete statistic.

- Recall from Theorem 1.2 that in this case,  $T(\mathbf{X})$  is also sufficient for  $\theta$
- So it's really easy to find complete sufficient statistics for exponential families

---

<sup>1</sup>More generally,  $\Theta$  must contain an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  – this requirement is sometimes called the “open set condition”.

## Completeness: Examples

- **Example 1.36:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2$  is known. Show that  $\bar{X}_n$  is complete for  $\mu$ .

## Completeness: Examples

- **Example 1.37:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda > 0$ . Show that  $\bar{X}_n$  is complete for  $\lambda$ .

## Completeness: Examples

- **Example 1.38:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\mu, \sigma}$  where

$$f_{\mu, \sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{2\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - \mu|}{\sigma}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  and  $\mu$  is known. Find a complete statistic for  $\sigma$ .

# Complete Statistics Are Minimal Sufficient!

- There is nothing resembling sufficiency in the definition of completeness; the two concepts seem completely unrelated
- And yet, Theorem 1.8 says that for exponential families, certain complete statistics are sufficient
- What about in general? The answer might surprise you...
- Theorem 1.9 (**Bahadur's theorem**): A complete sufficient statistic is a minimal sufficient statistic.
- That's *not* the same as saying that all minimal sufficient statistics are complete (which is unfortunately not true)



# Minimal Sufficient Statistics Are Not Always Complete

- Bahadur implies that if a minimal sufficient statistic exists and it's not complete, then no complete sufficient statistic exists
- This is probably the simplest example of a minimal sufficient statistic that is not complete
- **Example 1.39:** Let  $X_1 \sim \text{Unif}(\theta, \theta + 1)$ , where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $T(X_1) = X_1$  is minimal sufficient for  $\theta$ , but not complete.

# The Amazingly Useful Basu's Theorem

- Theorem 1.10 (**Basu's theorem**): Complete sufficient statistics are independent of *all* ancillary statistics.

*Proof.*

# Poll Time!

On Quercus: Module 1 - Poll 6

## Basu's Theorem: Examples

- **Example 1.40:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Show that the sample mean  $\bar{X}_n$  is independent of the sample variance  $S_n^2$ .

- This is actually a characterizing property of the Normal distribution:  
 $\bar{X}_n \perp S_n^2$  if and only if  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$

## Basu's Theorem: Examples

- **Example 1.41:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\theta)$ , where  $\theta > 0$ . Use Basu's theorem to find  $\mathbb{E}_\theta \left[ \frac{X_1}{X_1 + \dots + X_n} \right]$ .

# Basu's Theorem: Examples

- **Example 1.42:** Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} f_{\mu, \sigma}$  where

$$f_{\mu, \sigma}(x) = \frac{1}{2\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{|x - \mu|}{\sigma}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\sigma > 0$  and  $\mu$  is known. Show that  $X_1/X_n$  is independent of  $\sum_{i=1}^n |X_i - \mu|$ .